United States District Court Southern District of Texas FILED

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

FEB 1 1 2015

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

**BROWNSVILLE DIVISION** Clerk of Court: 600 E. Harrison St. #101 Brownsville, TX 78520 (956)548-2500

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.

Plaintiffs,

٧.

Case No. 11:14-cv-00254-ASH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.

**Defendants** 

MOTION for Leave to File in support of plaintiffs as an Amicus in a related and already docketed (doc # 108) case "Arpaio v Obama" USCA-DCC 14-cv-5325 and related case USCA-DCC 14-cv-5327 "Strunk et al. v US Department of State et al.

"NOTICE OF MOTION TO SEAL AND ..." in this court as a related multi-state unconstitutional executive amnesty litigation matter "State of Texas et al. v United States of America et al." 14-cy-254-ASH USDC-TXSD.

01/20/2015	108	MOTION for Leave to File Amicus Brief in Support of Plaintiffs'
		Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Joe Arpaio as Sheriff of Maricopa
	-	County by Joe Arpaio, filed. Motion Docket Date 2/10/2015.
:		(Attachments: # 1 Proposed Order, # 2 Amicus Brief in Support of
		Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction by Joe Arpaio as Sheriff of
		Maricopa County)(jtabares, 1) (Entered: 01/20/2015)
	-	

Filed by Harold William Van Allen pro se non-attorney Plaintiff/Appellant United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit 14-cv-5327 and United States District Court for the District of Columbia District 14-cv-

2/8/2015

H. William Van Allen

351 North Road Hurley, NY 12443

(845) 389-4366

hvanallen@hvc.rr.com

Certificate of electronic service this date Sunday, February 8, 2015 upon.:

andy.oldham@texasattorneygeneral.gov

pmargulies@rwu.edu

995-RJL

adam.bitter@texasattorneygeneral.gov

angela.colmenero@TexasAttorneyGeneral.gov

arthur.dandrea@texasattorneygeneral.gov

cam.barker@texasattorneygeneral.gov

scott.keller@texasattorneygeneral.gov

joe.chapelle@btlaw.com

peter.rusthoven@btlaw.com

dave.lopez@nebraska.gov

ryan.post@nebraska.gov

lenningtondp@doj.state.wi.us

cally.younger@gov.idaho.gov

eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

patrick.wyrick@oag.ok.gov

kyle.freeny@usdoj.gov

daniel.hu@usdoj.gov

orly.taitz@hushmail.com

gmarkoff@omm.com

jdeneve@omm.com

nperales@maldef.org

akohsweeney@omm.com

Ismith@omm.com

sekulow@aclj.org

jweissglass@altshulerberzon.com

dwilcox@irli.org

mhethmon@irli.org

tony@mbymlaw.com

ishapiro@cato.org

notices@olsonappeals.com

cbadlani@hsplegal.com

anneE1@atg.wa.gov

Contact@JonMoseley.com

rdearing@law.nyc.gov

leklayman@gmail.com

scott.mcintosh@usdoj.gov

jeffrey.clair@usdoj.gov

william.e.havemann@usdoj.gov

craig.lawrence@usdoj.gov

CEStrunck@yahoo.com

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

-----x Case No.: 14-cv-00995 (RJL)

CHRISTOPHER EARL STRUNK

593 Vanderbilt Avenue PMB 281 NYC, NY 11238 :

845-901-6767 chris@strunk.ws,

and H. WILLIAM VAN ALLEN

351 North Road Hurley NY 12443

845-389-4366 hvanallen@hvc.rr.com

NOTICE OF MOTION

v. : TO SEAL AND

Plaintiffs.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE (DOS) by JOHN F. KERRY (SOS) at 2201 C Street NW Washington, DC 20520 TTY:1-800-877-8339 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

by JOHN O. BRENNAN, DCI

Washington, D.C. 20505 fax: (571) 204-3800

BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA II

1600 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington DC 20500:

U.S. COPYRIGHT OFFICE

101 Independence Avenue SE

Washington, DC 20559-6000 (202) 707-3000

and THE NEW YORK STATE BOARD

OF ELECTIONS and its agents at

40 North Pearl Street, Suite 5

Albany, NY 12207-2729 Fax (518) 486-4068

Defendants.

ERIC HOLDER, US ATTORNEY GENERAL
950 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington DC 20530

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed affidavit of Christopher-Earl: Strunk affirmed November 8. 2014 with exhibits will move with Fed Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules to Seal the Case and until further notice as supplement to the Motion Reargue the Order to Dismiss entered June 16, 2014 in order to Supplement the Complaint filed 10 June 2014 with Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 15(a)(1)(A), and Rule 19(a)(1)(A)(B), heard before the Honorable Richard J. Leon USDJ at the

designated Courtroom in the Courthouse at 333 Constitution Avenue NW Washington DC 20001, before the 24<sup>th</sup> day of November 2014, at a time designated by the Court or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York November 8th, 2014 /8/

Christopher-Earl: Strunk in esse Sui juris secured beneficiary agent of the Debtor Trust transmitting utility CHRISTOPHER EARL STRUNKC Plaintiff, the Executor and Settlor for the Express Deed In Trust To The United States Of America, located at 593 Vanderbilt Avenue – PMB 281 Brooklyn, New York Zipcode excepted 11238 Cell: 845-901-6767 Email: chris@strunk.ws

#### SERVICE LIST:

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL FOR RECIPIENT EYES ONLY with COURTESY COPY REDACTED MOTION WITHOUT EXHIBITS TO RECIPIENT:

US Attorney for Washington District of Columbia Judiciary Center Building 555 Fourth Street NW Washington DC 20530

JOSHUA PEPPER, AAG for Eric Schneiderman, Attorney General for The State of New York 120 Broadway 25<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10271

H. William Van Allen 351 North Road Hurley, New York 12443

MICHAEL SHRIMPTON 8 Jusons Glebe, Wendover, United Kingdom HP22 6PF PAUL EDWARD IREY
c/o CHRISTOPHER EARL STRUNK
593 Vanderbilt Avenue PMB 281
Brooklyn, New York

The Honorable David I. Schmidt J.S.C.
New York Supreme Court for the County of Kings
Part 47 Courtroom 541
360 Adams Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201

The Honorable Ron Johnson
United States Senator from the State of Wisconsin
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Jeff Sessions
United States Senator from the State of Alabama
326 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Harold Van Allen		
From:	Harold Van Allen <hvanallen< th=""><th>@hvc.rr.com&gt;</th></hvanallen<>	@hvc.rr.com>
Sent:	Thursday, February 5, 2015 9	
То:		n@gmail.com); Larry Klayman Esq (leklayman@yahoo.com) ce@usdoj.gov); Christopher Earl Strunk
Subject:	https://www.scribd.com/doc	/254873203/USCA-DCC-14-5327-Motion-for-Judicial- 25-as-Related-Case-Arpaio-v-Obama-Appellant-Brief-and-
	/254873203/USCA-DCC-14-5327- a-Appellant-Brief-and-Appendix-	Motion-for-Judicial-Notice-of-USCA-DCC-14-5325-as- -II
From: Harold Van Allen [mailt Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2		
To: Larry Klayman Esq (leklayı		Esq (leklayman@yahoo.com); craig. lawrence ck@yahoo.com)
<b>Subject:</b> RE: Emailing: USCA-D Obama Appellant Brief and Ap		Notice of USCA-DCC 14-5325 as Related Case Arpaio v
Uı	General Deneral Court of Appeals for	
Court of Appeals Docket # Nature of Suit: 2890 Other S Christopher Strunk, et al v. D Appeal From: United States Fee Status: IFP Pending US	Statutory Actions IOS, et al District Court for the District of C	Docketed: 12/29/2014
Case Type Information: 1) Civil US 2) United States 3)		
Originating Court Informat District: 0090-1: 1:14-cv Trial Judge: Richard J. L. Date Filed: 06/10/2014	-00995-RJL	<b>Lead:</b> <u>1:14-cv-00995-RJ</u> L
Date Order/Judgment: 11/10/2014	<b>Date NOA F</b> 12/10/2014	iled:
Prior Cases: None		
Current Cases: None		
Panel Assignment: Not	available	

Christopher Earl Strunk

Plaintiff - Appellant

Christopher Earl Strunk Direct: 845-901-6767

[NTC Pro Se] Firm: 212-307-4444 593 Vanderbilt Avenue

#281

Brooklyn, NY 11238

Harold W. Van Allen

Plaintiff - Appellant

Harold W. Van Allen Direct: 845-389-4366

Email: hvanallen@hvc.rr.com

[NTC Pro Se] Firm: 845-389-4366 351 North Road Hurley, NY 12443

٧.

United States Department of State

Defendant - Appellee

R. Craig Lawrence

Email: <a href="mailto:craig.lawrence@usdoj.gov">craig.lawrence@usdoj.gov</a> [LD NTC Gvt Atty USAO/AUSA]

U.S. Attorney's Office (USA) Civil Division Firm: 202-252-2500 555 4th Street, NW Washington, DC 20530

Central Intelligence Agency

Defendant - Appellee

R. Craig Lawrence

[LD NTC Gvt Atty USAO/AUSA]

(see above)

Barack Hussein Obama

Defendant - Appellee

R. Craig Lawrence

[LD NTC Gvt Atty USAO/AUSA]

(see above)

**US Copyright Office** 

Defendant - Appellee

R. Craig Lawrence

[LD NTC Gvt Atty USAO/AUSA]

(see above)

Eric H. Holder, Jr., US Attorney General

Defendant - Appellee

R. Craig Lawrence

[LD Gvt Atty USAO/AUSA]

(see above)

New York State Board of Elections

Defendant - Appellee

Christopher Earl Strunk; Harold W. Van Allen,

Plaintiffs - Appellants

٧.

United States Department of State; Central Intelligence Agency; Barack Hussein Obama; US Copyright Office; Eric H. Holder, Jr., US Attorney General; New York State Board of Elections,

#### Defendants - Appellees

	12/29/2014		US CIVIL CASE docketed. [14-5327]
a Carbon des man Control	12/29/2014	≣ 17 pg, 1.65 MB	NOTICE OF APPEAL filed [1529431] by Christopher Earl Strunk and Harold W. Van Allen seeking review of a decision by the U.S. District Court in 1:14-cv-00995-RJL. Assigned USCA Case Number [14-5327]
	12/29/2014	<b>≣</b> 8 pg, 625.47 KB	CLERK'S ORDER filed [1529434] directing party to file motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis or payment of docketing fee. APPELLANT payment of docketing fee or motion to proceed IFP in district court due 01/28/2015, Failure to respond shall result in dismissal of the case for lack of prosecution; The Clerk is directed to mail this order to appellant by certified mail, return receipt requested and by 1st class mail. [14-5327]
***************************************	12/29/2014		FIRST CLASS MAIL SENT [1529441] of order [1529434-2] to both appellants [14-5327]
The second secon	12/29/2014		CERTIFIED MAIL SENT [1529442] with return receipt requested [Receipt No.7007 0710 0004 7190 2782 for Strunk, and 7007 0710 0004 7190 2799 for Van Allen] of order [1529434-2]. Certified Mail Receipt due 01/28/2015 from Christopher Earl Strunk and Harold W. Van Allen. [14-5327]
1 11 11 11 11 11	01/08/2015		CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT [1531377] RECEIVED from Rob Wharf for order [1529442-2] sent to Appellant Christopher Earl Strunk [14-5327]
The second of the second of the second	01/08/2015		CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT [1531425] RECEIVED from Harold Van Allen [signed for on 01/05/2015] for order [1529442-2] sent to Appellant Harold W. Van Allen [14-5327]
The second second second second	01/23/2015	≣ 20 pg, 1.19 MB	MOTION filed [1534672] by Harold W. Van Allen leave to proceed ifp in the district court [Service Date: 01/28/2015 ] Pages: 1-10. [14-5327]
	01/23/2015	≣ 19 pg, 1.18 MB	MOTION filed [1534674] by Harold W. Van Allen for judicial notice. [Service Date: 01/28/2015 ] Pages: 1-10. [14-5327]
Section 2 and the section of the sec	01/28/2015	量 1 pg, 39.51 KB	CLERK'S ORDER filed [1534679] referring motion ifp in district court [1534672-2] to the district court for consideration., holding case in abeyance. Case 14-5327 held in abeyance pending IFP decision in 1:14cv995-RJL from U.S. District Court; The Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this order and the original motion to the district court. [14-5327]
	01/30/2015	≣ 4 pg, 38.34 KB	NOTICE [1535125] filed from Clerk, District Court leave to proceed ifp in the district court is granted for Harold W. Van Allen [Case Number 14-5327: IFP] [14-5327]
	01/30/2015	≘ 9 pg, 870.7 KB	MOTION filed [1535393] by Christopher Earl Strunk leave to proceed ifp in the district court [Service Date: 01/26/2015 ] Pages: 1-10. [14-5327]
	02/02/2015	≣ 1 pg, 39.04 KB	CLERK'S ORDER filed [1535394] referring apellant Strunk's motion ifp in district court [1535393-2] to the district court for consideration. Case 14-5327 held in abeyance pending decision in 1:14cv995-RJL from U.S. District Court; The Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this order and the original motion to the district court. [14-5327]
	02/04/2015	≣ 78 pg, 1.92 MB	MOTION filed [1536137] by Harold W. Van Allen for judicial notice. (Response to Motion served by mail due on 02/20/2015) [Service Date: 02/05/2015 by Clerk] Pages: 1-10. [14-5327]
	02/04/2015		MOTION filed [1536139] by Harold W. Van Allen for judicial notice.[Electronic Version Unavailable, Original Paper Document in File] (Response to Motion served by mail due on 02/20/2015) [Service Date: 02/05/2015 by Clerk] Pages: 1-10. [14-5327]
	CONTRACTOR OF STREET CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR OF STREET	a property of a secret for the secret of the second colors of the second	FOR THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

----Original Message-----

From: Harold Van Allen [mailto:hvanallen@hvc.rr.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2015 9:02 PM

#### Case 1:14-cv-00254 Document 139 Filed on 02/11/15 in TXSD Page 9 of 15

To: Larry Klayman Esq (<u>leklayman@gmail.com</u>); Larry Klayman Esq (<u>leklayman@yahoo.com</u>); craig. lawrence (<u>craig.lawrence@usdoj.gov</u>); Christopher Earl Strunk (<u>CEStrunck@yahoo.com</u>)

Subject: Emailing: USCA-DCC 14-5327 Motion for Judicial Notice of USCA-DCC 14-5325 as Related Case Arpaio v Obama Appellant Brief and Appendix I II

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

USCA-DCC 14-5327 Motion for Judicial Notice of USCA-DCC 14-5325 as Related Case Arpaio v Obama Appellant Brief and Appendix I II

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

United States Oscilet Court Southern District of Texas FULED

David J. Disaley, Clerk of Court

STATE OF TEXAS, et al.

٧.

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 1:14-cv-254

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.

**Defendants** 

# MOTION FOR LEAVE OF COURT TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION BY JOE ARPAIO AS SHERIFF OF MARICOPA COUNTY

Movant Joe Arpaio, elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, ("Sheriff Arpaio") respectfully requests leave to participate as an *amicus curiae* and to file a brief in support of the Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction and causes of action for judgment. The proposed brief is submitted with this motion. Counsel for proposed *amicus curiae* requested counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants to consent to this motion via e-mail sent on January 13, 2015.

Defendants take no position on this motion, while Plaintiffs have not responded.

#### I. <u>INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE AND REASONS FOR BRIEF</u>

The Defendants have presented as their Exhibit #1 the memorandum opinion and decision in Sheriff Arpaio's similar lawsuit. Because the Defendants' Opposition relies significantly upon the decision of Judge Beryl A. Howell in Washington, D.C. in Sheriff Arpaio's case there, this Court now would lack a proper and full briefing of the issues without learning of the position of the Plaintiffs in that case. The citations of the Defendants to Sheriff

Arpaio's case would not provide a balanced or complete perspective.

Defendants here extensively cite to and rely upon a similar lawsuit filed by Sheriff

Arpaio and a decision rendered in that court case – although that decision is on appeal in the U.S.

Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. ("DC Circuit"). Because Defendants here cite from that precedent to support Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction in this case, the relevance and importance of his knowledge and involvement in this issue is conceded.

Sheriff Arpaio sued in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia ("DC District Court"), Civil Action No. 1:14-cv-01966, President Barack Obama ("President Obama"); Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson; and Director of the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service ("USCIS") Leon Rodriguez to challenge the Defendants' executive action amnesty for illegal aliens.

Arpaio v. Obama necessarily – by the Defendants' timetable, not Sheriff Arpaio's nor the Plaintiffs' actions – has been rushed through briefing and a hearing on an accelerated basis without discovery or development of facts relating to questions such as standing.

Therefore, it would be especially helpful for this Court to understand both points of view on citations here to *Arpaio v. Obama*. Sheriff Arpaio and counsel always expected the issues to be decided mainly on appeal, not in the D.C. District Court.

In sum, the importance of *Arpaio v. Obama*, which Defendants raised, makes it compelling for this Court to consider the fatally flawed nature of the D.C. District Court's order. This amicus brief is being filed now in part because the decision in *Arpaio v. Obama* did not come until December 23, 2014 and Defendants then cited to it significantly in this case here.

Furthermore, the State of Arizona is one of the Plaintiffs in this case. *Amicus Curiae*Sheriff Arpaio is the elected Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona, one of the largest Sheriff's offices in the United States. Maricopa County is the most populated County in the State of Arizona with 4,009,412 citizens. The County holds more than sixty percent (60%) of all of the population of entire State of Arizona. Sheriff Arpaio's Office effectively is nearly all of the State of Arizona in terms of law enforcement. Maricopa County is the fourth most populated County in the United States by most reports. If Maricopa County by itself were a State, the County would be larger by population than twenty-four (24) of the States within the United States of America and larger than Puerto Rico and more than five times larger than the entire District of Columbia.

Sheriff Arpaio suffers direct economic harm from the Defendants' executive action amnesty for illegal aliens (citizens belonging to a foreign country). On June 15, 2012, Defendants launched their DACA program. As a result, from February 1, 2014, through December 17, 2014, the financial harm from illegal aliens to the Office of the Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona was at least \$9,293,619.96 consisting of the costs of holding illegal aliens in the Sheriff's jails, for those inmates flagged with INS "detainers." These costs of jail confinement are but one financial impact, easily quantified.

<sup>&</sup>quot;State & County Quick Facts," Maricopa County, Arizona, U.S. Census Bureau, http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/04/04013.html

<sup>&</sup>quot;State Population by Rank, 2013", InfoPlease, http://www.infoplease.com/us/states/population-by-rank.html

#### II. PROPOSED AMICUS CURIAE'S BRIEF WILL BE USEFUL TO THE COURT

In his accompanying brief, proposed *Amicus Curiae* supplements Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction by drawing on his unique knowledge and experiences with litigation of these issues and with local law enforcement practices and effective policing.

Sheriff Joe Arpaio of Maricopa, Arizona, hereby respectfully requests leave of court in the Court's discretion to file an *Amicus Curiae* brief in support of the Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

Unique among all other parties in the nation and involving first-hand knowledge exclusively available to Sheriff Arpaio and his legal team alone, the Amicus Curiae can inform and advise the Court in detail where exactly the Defendants' arguments miss the mark as to what is actually at stake in the issues to be decided by this Court.

Having litigated on this same issue against the Defendants with the same Defendants' counsel, Amicus Curiae Sheriff Arpaio can ensure that the Court is properly informed on the key issues that may very well be pivotal to the Court's decision and the ultimate resolution on appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court.

A constitutional republic that is governed by laws rather than men and the rule of law even apart from any immigration issues is of supreme importance to the nation and its continued existence as the most successful democracy (constitutional republic) in human history, Amicus Curiae's counsel argued in the D.C. District Court on December 23, 2014, that this is not primarily a case about immigration about following the rule of law and U.S. Constitution.

#### III. CONCLUSION

Proposed amicus curiae respectfully requests that this Court grant this motion, allow him to participate as amici curiae, and accept for filing the brief submitted with this motion.

Dated: January 16, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

Larry Klayman, Esq.
Washington, D.C. Bar No. 334581
Freedom Watch, Inc.
2020 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Suite 345
Washington, D.C. 20006
(310) 595-0800
leklayman@gmail.com
Of Counsel
(Pro Hac Vice Application Pending)

Jonathon Moseley, Esq

Virginia State Bar No. 41058 Freedom Watch, Inc.

2020 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Suite 345

Washington, D.C. 20006

(310) 595-0800

leklayman@gmail.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

Pro Hac Vice Approved

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that service of the foregoing motion and proposed brief will be delivered electronically on January 16, 2015, to counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants through the District's Electronic Case Filing system.

Jonathon Moseley, Esq.

